Enforcement

Code	Issue Summary	Response	Recommended Revision
E1	The plan does not emphasize or prioritize enforcement of laws, regulations, and restrictions in the Moose Range and JPUA related to weapons discharge, dumping, trail use, and other illegal and unlawful activities. The plan does not illustrate how DNR will effectively enforce restrictions.	DNR management plans are binding policy for the management of state land and resources, and they direct permitting, leasing, and other decisions made by DNR. Staff must follow DNR management plans when adjudicating authorizations for the use of state land. After adoption of this plan, DNR may promulgate regulations to implement its recommendations, including restrictions on trail use, weapons discharge, and dumping.	None.
E2	Enforcement needs to be adequately funded.	Inadequate funding for enforcement is an unfortunate reality at present. DNR will continue to pursue funding to increase its management presence in the area.	None.
E3	Implementation of projects shouldn't proceed until management/enforcement is established.	After adoption of this plan, DNR may promulgate regulations to implement its recommendations. If funding is identified, with authority granted through AS 41.23.288, DNR can authorize employees as peace officers to enforce laws and regulations in the JPUA. However, there are projects that can proceed that make improvements that don't require enforcement.	None.
E4	A strategy must be developed for enforcement and revegetation of decommissioned roads and trails.	The Alaska Surface Coal Mining Control & Reclamation Act includes regulations that require reclamation and revegetation. Regarding the enforcement of use on decommissioned roads and trails, inadequate funding for enforcement is an unfortunate reality at present. DNR will continue to pursue funding to increase its management presence in the area.	None.

Forestry

Code	Issue Summary	Response	Recommended Revision
F1	Moose Range firewood permits are important as a local	Concur.	None.
	fuel source.		

Habitat

Code	Issue Summary	Response	Recommended Revision
HA1	Motorized use damages salmon habitat, riparian areas, and anadromous streams. The plan should do more to protect fish and wildlife habitat and better recognize the importance of the Moose Range lands and waters as salmon habitat.	The plan recommends restricting motorized use to hardened trails in the Western Management Subunit (will require the promulgation of regulations). There are multiple areawide management guidelines to conserve fish and wildlife habitat and avoid disturbance in important riparian and spawning areas. Additionally, there are recreation management guidelines allowing for recreation activities to be restricted if causing adverse effects on wildlife and their habitats.	Add 'fish' to the areawide recreation management guidelines on page 2-26, lines 880-885 of the public review draft.
HA4	Habitat that has been damaged by recreation use should be identified and recommendations made for restoration and protection.	The plan recommends completing a Trail Management Plan which will identify unsustainable trails and/or trails that are causing resource damage so that recommendations may be made for decommissioning or revegetating.	None.
HA5	Plan doesn't do enough to protect moose and their habitat.	The plan prioritizes protecting and enhancing moose habitat. The plan outlines management guidelines to conserve wildlife habitat, avoid important areas for wildlife, mitigate any adverse impacts on wildlife.	None.
HA6	The plan should take an intact ecosystem management approach with enhanced agency coordination.	DNR and ADF&G are required, through the enabling legislation, to manage the area to sustain fish and wildlife resources in perpetuity, according to the principle of sustained yield.	None.
HA7	Ruffed Grouse are an invasive species therefore, protection of their habitat should not be considered in this plan.	Ruffed Grouse are not considered an invasive species by ADF&G.	None.

НА8	Habitat protection should always be prioritized over recreational use or facility development.	The primary purposes for the creation of the Moose Range (AS 16.20.340) are to maintain, improve, and enhance moose populations and habitat and other wildlife resource of the area, and to perpetuate public multiple use of the area. The primary purposes for the creation of the JPUA (AS 41.23.280-28) include to protect, perpetuate, and enhance year-round public recreation, and to protect and maintain fish and wildlife habitat.	None.
		DNR is mandated to manage the areas for both wildlife and habitat protection and public multiple use.	
НА9	All streams should be considered anadromous by default.	Alaska Statute 16.05.871 requires ADF&G to specify anadromous waters. They are responsible for maintaining anadromous waters data as well as the Anadromous Waters Catalog (AWC). Procedures are in place to update the AWC in accordance with 5 AAC 95.011 and AS 44.62.	None.
HA10	ADF&G should consult with other organizations, including the Mat-Su Borough (MSB) Fish & Wildlife Commission and the Chickaloon Village Tribal Council, for habitat enhancement efforts.	ADF&G has the authority to manage fish and wildlife resources on state-owned lands and waters and will consult with the appropriate agencies and organizations as required.	None.
HA11	Habitat protection should always be prioritized over timber use.	Concur. The plan recommends that only timber harvest operations that enhance fish and wildlife habitat be authorized in the Moose Range and JPUA.	None.
HA12	Support the riparian buffers in this plan.	Concur.	None.
HA13	Remove the option to use chemicals for invasive species control.	Chemicals may be the only effective way to control an invasive species that is causing environmental or economic harm. Chemicals are only allowed with the appropriate permits and authorizations.	None.

HA14	Trail and facility development should protect all habitat, not	Concur.	Will adjust the language on page
	just moose habitat.		2-24 lines 793-797 to expand the
			description of habitat protection.

Shooting Range

Code	Issue Summary	Response	Recommended Revision
SR1	Objects to proposed location for the following reasons. Map 8 identifies a potential shooting range location that is: 1. Within the lease boundary for the Wishbone Hill coal mine creating unnecessary conflict between mining activities and recreation opportunities. 2. Not accessible year-round. 3. Too close to the Eska Falls Trail system. 4. Too far up a winding, steep road. 5. Too close to fossil hunting grounds. 6. Inadequate for background stops. 7. Proposed location would require costly infrastructure and maintenance.	The plan identifies a potential shooting range location that may achieve location and suitability criteria; however, the plan does not prohibit an alternate location. A more thorough feasibility and siting analysis should be completed before a shooting range is constructed.	None.
SR2	Likes the location recommended in the plan.	The plan identifies a potential shooting range location that may achieve location and suitability criteria; however, the plan does not prohibit an alternate location. A more thorough feasibility analysis should be completed.	None.
SR3	Other locations for a shooting range proposed by commenters include: 1. Kings River 2. Old Mine Area	The plan identifies a potential shooting range location that may achieve location and suitability criteria; however, the plan does not prohibit an alternate location. A more thorough feasibility analysis should be completed.	None.
SR4	Shooting ranges that allow for extended range shooting are needed.	As the development of a shooting range moves toward implementation, locations that allow for extended range shooting may be considered and weighed against other shooting range criteria.	None.
SR5	Shooting ranges provide safe and accessible places for practicing shooting that also protects habitat.	Concur.	None.
SR6	Shooting range should be operated and maintained competently.	Concur.	Language recommending that shooting ranges be operated

			and maintained according to best management practices will be added.
SR7	Plan does not mention Mat-Su Borough (MSB) funding/commitment for shooting range.	This is a management plan with a planning horizon of 20 years. Over the span of 20 years, many projects throughout the planning area will be funded. The plan does not need to specifically mention MSB funding or commitment for a shooting range to be authorized and implemented.	None.
SR8	A shooting range should be an immediate priority.	After plan adoption, the development of a shooting range can be implemented.	None.
SR9	The proposed location would present a challenge for winter access and should be relocated.	The plan identifies a potential shooting range location that may achieve location and suitability criteria; however, the plan does not prohibit an alternate location. A more thorough feasibility analysis should be complete.	None.
SR10	The MSB proposes to enter into a project-specific management agreement with DNR for the development, maintenance, and operation of the shooting range.	This proposal from the MSB is consistent with the proposed management guidelines outlined in the plan for the development of a shooting range.	None.
SR11	Relying on a local group to build, manage and maintain a shooting range operation is unrealistic and not feasible.	A shooting range could be implemented by a variety of different qualified agencies and organizations.	Language regarding 'local groups' on lines 828-829 of page 2-25 will be deleted.
SR12	There may be locations within the recommended Slipper Lake Public Use Site that are suitable for a shooting range, however the discharge of weapons and firearms are prohibited in Public Use Sites. Consider reducing the size of the Slipper Lake Public Use Site.	A shooting range feasibility study may reveal additional feasible shooting range locations.	The size of the Slipper Lake Public Use Site will be increased, and language will be added to the Public Use Site recommendations and guidelines that allow target shooting in a Public Use Site within designated and managed shooting areas only.

Weapons Discharge

Code	Issue Summary	Response	Recommended Revision
WD1	Unrestricted weapons discharge impacts the health and safety of the public and reduces quality of life for residents and visitors and is incompatible with other uses.	Concur. The plan establishes management guidelines for the development of a shooting range with specified hours/days of operation. The plan also recommends the prohibition of weapons discharge throughout the Moose Range and JPUA except for lawful hunting and trapping and within designated shooting areas.	None.
WD2	Unrestricted weapons discharge creates economic impacts for homeowners and surrounding businesses.	Concur. The plan establishes management guidelines for the development of a shooting range with specified hours/days of operation. The plan also recommends the prohibition of weapons discharge throughout the Moose Range and JPUA except for lawful hunting and trapping and within designated shooting areas.	None.
WD3	Restrict the discharge of firearms.	The plan recommends the prohibition of weapons discharge throughout the Moose Range and JPUA except for lawful hunting and trapping and within designated shooting areas.	None.
WD4	Enforce weapons discharge immediately.	After adoption of the plan, DNR can promulgate regulations to restrict the discharge of weapons.	None.
WD5	Unrestricted weapons discharge impacts wildlife and wildlife habitat.	The plan recommends the prohibition of weapons discharge throughout the Moose Range and JPUA except for lawful hunting and trapping and within designated shooting areas.	None.
WD6	Close the gravel pit on Jonesville Mine Road. The shooting that is occurring there now is unnerving and unsettling.	The plan recommends the prohibition of weapons discharge throughout the Moose Range and JPUA except for lawful hunting and trapping and within designated shooting areas. This prohibition would include unauthorized shooting in the gravel pit. The gravel pit is a Designated Material Site (ADL 231498) established by a best interest finding (AS 38.05.550 (b)), is being used as a gravel source and cannot be closed.	None.

Trail & Trail Use

Code	Issue Summary	Response	Recommended Revision
T1	Separate trail uses, including designating specific trails for motorized, non-motorized, and equestrian.	The plan recommends completing a Trail Management Plan and through that process, DNR may identify appropriate use restrictions on trails for public safety and to minimize trail use conflicts.	Language will be added on page 2-34 lines 1124-1142 stating that designating uses for specific trails may be an outcome of the Trail Management Plan Process.
T2	Restrict motorized use to reduce resource damage, habitat destruction, and trail damage (size, what trails, etc).	The plan recommends the restriction of motorized and mechanized trail use in snow-free and ice-free seasons in the Wasilla Creek headwaters area to authorized hardened routes that will be defined by the subsequent Trail Management Plan process. The Trail Management Plan process may recommend restrictions in other subunits as appropriate.	None.
Т3	Motorized use has damaged trails making them inaccessible. Trail rehabilitation and improvements are needed throughout the Moose Range for non-motorized trails.	The plan recommends completing a Trail Management Plan which will identify unsustainable trails and/or trails that are causing resource damage so that recommendations may be made for trail projects, decommissioning trails, or revegetating trails.	None.
T4	Support development of a Trail Management Plan.	Concur.	None.
T5	Develop mountain biking areas for public use.	The plan recommends completing a Trail Management Plan which may identify areas for mountain bike trail development.	None.
Т6	Restrict motorized use to hardened trails. Motorized activity should be restricted to specified trails with no off-trail use permitted.	The plan recommends the restriction of motorized and mechanized trail use in snow-free and ice-free seasons in the Wasilla Creek headwaters area to authorized, hardened routes that will be defined by the Trail Management Plan process. The Trail Management Plan process may recommend restrictions in other subunits as appropriate.	None.
Т7	Winter motorized use damages groomed ski trails.	The plan recommends completing a Trail Management Plan and through that process, DNR may identify appropriate use restrictions on	Language will be added on page 2-34 lines 1124-1142 stating that designating uses for specific

T8	Winter motorized use is low impact if snow coverage is adequate.	trails for public safety, habitat protection, and to minimize trail use conflicts. The plan recommends the restriction of motorized use in snow-free and ice-free seasons in the Wasilla Creek headwaters trail system to authorized, hardened routes that will be defined by the Trail Management Plan process. The plan does not recommend motorized restrictions in the winter when there is adequate snow coverage, however, the Trails Management Plan process may identify additional management	trails may be an outcome of the Trail Management Plan process. None.
Т9	Plan does not address trail use conflicts.	guidelines and recommendations. The plan recommends completing a Trail Management Plan and through that process, DNR may identify appropriate use restrictions on trails for public safety and to minimize trail use conflicts.	Language will be added on page 2-34 lines 1124-1142 stating that designating uses for specific trails may be an outcome of the Trail Management Plan process.
T10	RS 2477 Trails should not be on plan maps. They should be surveyed and located on the ground first.	Public access may be authorized across federal land, including land conveyed by the federal government to the State, under a federal statute termed 'RS 2477'. The intent of this statute was to provide for continued public access on roads and trails that had experienced historic access use when the land crossed by the road or trail was under federal ownership. These easements, however, are subject to certification by the federal government and lawful access is only authorized when such certifications are approved. Although certifications may not be complete for routes identified as RS 2477, it remains important to identify the routes on maps as land management authorizations are considered.	Language will be added to maps that RS 2477 routes are included for land management purposes and users should not assume the route is available for public use. If you are not sure whether a trail you want to use is a certified R.S. 2477 right-of-way, check public land records and consult with each landowner or managing agency before crossing the property.
T11	Trail improvements are needed along the Chickaloon Trail.	The plan recommends completing a Trail Management Plan and through that process, DNR may identify specific trail improvements along the Chickaloon-Knik-Nelchina Trail.	None.

T12	Motorized use should be allowed on all existing trails.	The plan recommends completing a Trail Management Plan and through that process, DNR may identify appropriate use restrictions on trails for public safety and to minimize trail use conflicts. This could include motorized use restrictions. Additionally, the plan recommends the restriction of motorized and mechanized trail use in snow-free and ice-free seasons in the Wasilla Creek headwaters trail system area to authorized, hardened routes that will be defined by the Trail Management Plan process.	None.
T13	Develop or designate equestrian trails.	The plan recommends completing a Trail Management Plan and through that process, DNR may identify appropriate use restrictions on trails for public safety and to minimize trail use conflicts.	Language will be added on page 2-34 lines 1124-1142 stating that designating uses for specific trails may be an outcome of the Trail Management Plan process.
T14	Identify trails that are designated for non-motorized users including equestrians.	The plan recommends completing a Trail Management Plan and through that process, DNR may identify appropriate use restrictions on trails for public safety and to minimize trail use conflicts.	Language will be added on page 2-34 lines 1124-1142 stating that designating uses for specific trails may be an outcome of the Trail Management Plan process.
T15	Trails within wetlands (I.e. N of Wishbone hill) should include a winter use only designation.	The plan recommends completing a Trail Management Plan and through that process, DNR may identify appropriate seasonal use restrictions on trails to protect habitat and resources.	None.
T16	The separation of uses at Eska is working fine.	Acknowledged.	None.
T17	Trails can be easily shared year-round by motorized and non-motorized users.	The plan recommends completing a Trail Management Plan. Through that process, DNR may identify appropriate use restrictions on trails for public safety and to minimize trail use conflicts. Through the process, trails may be identified that are appropriate for a multiple use designation.	Language will be added on page 2-34 lines 1124-1142 stating that designating uses for specific trails may be an outcome of the Trail Management Plan process.
T18	Eska Falls Trail should have a separate motorized use path that users cannot deviate from.	There is already a separation of uses for a portion of the Eska Falls Trail. Additionally, the plan recommends completing a Trail Management	None.

T10	Decomplissioning old roads will be a benefit	Plan and through that process, DNR may identify appropriate use restrictions on trails for public safety and to minimize trail use conflicts. This process, if appropriate, could make a recommendation to restrict motorized use to hardened trails only. Acknowledged.	None.
T19 T20	Decommissioning old roads will be a benefit. Create separated non-motorized trail along the Glenn Highway.	A Glenn Highway separated pathway would be in the purview of the Alaska Department of Transportation & Public Facilities.	None.
T21	Agree that no new roads or trails should be developed in upper Moose Creek drainage.	Acknowledged.	None.
T22	Remove the Wishbone Mine trail or designate as winter only.	The plan recommends completing a Trail Management Plan that through the process, DNR may identify appropriate seasonal use restrictions on trails to protect habitat and resources.	None.
T23	The extensive trail system in the Western Management Subunit is not shown.	Although the locations of the trails in the Western Management Subunit are mapped by trail users, DNR has not completed an inventory. The plan recommends completing a Trail Management Plan and through the process trails may be catalogued and assessed.	None.
T24	The plan must clearly affirm DNR and ADF&G's capability to meet management objectives and secure management exemptions from restricted areas or uses.	Concur.	An "Exceptions" subsection will be added to the Trails Management Guidelines after Chapter 2 line 1142.

Hunting & Trapping

Code	Issue Summary	Response	Recommended Revision
HT1	Do not allow trapping.	Hunting and trapping within the Moose Range	None.
		and JPUA are not regulated by DNR or the	
		management plan, but rather by the Board of	
		Game through Fish and Game regulations.	
HT2	Designate a non-motorized hunting area to protect habitat	The management plan recommends restricting	None.
	and trails.	motorized use to hardened trails in the Western	

	1	I	
		Management Subunit. If subsequent regulations	
		are implemented to restrict motorized use to	
		hardened trails in the Western Management	
		Subunit, hunters would need to follow this	
		regulation.	
HT3	Moose population is drastically reduced due to the number	Hunting and trapping within the Moose Range and	None.
	of permits granted.	JPUA are not regulated by DNR or the	
		management plan, but rather by the Board of	
		Game through Fish and Game regulations.	
HT4	Consider changing hunting regulations to reduce impacts	Hunting and trapping within the Moose Range and	None.
	on trails and habitat.	JPUA are not regulated by DNR or the	
		management plan, but rather by the Board of	
		Game through Fish and Game regulations.	
HT5	Wendt Road is not an appropriate trapping location and	Hunting and trapping within the Moose Range and	None.
	should be limited to the foothills of Arkose Ridge, the	JPUA are not regulated by DNR or the	
	eastern edge of the Western subunit, and the Middle and	management plan, but rather by the Board of	
	Eastern subunits.	Game through Fish and Game regulations.	

Fish & Wildlife

Code	Issue Summary	Response	Recommended Revision
FW1	Ensure that the prohibition of Chinook salmon fishing is accurately represented throughout the document, not only in Chapter 2.	Chapter 2 applies areawide to the entire Moose Range and JPUA.	None.
FW2	Management guidelines should mention raptors and waterfowl in Chapter 3.	Chapter 2 applies areawide to the entire Moose Range and JPUA.	None.
FW3	Acknowledge the importance of migratory birds in the area and at Fish Lake.	Acknowledged.	Language related to waterfowl and the Migratory Bird Treaty Act will be added to Chapter 2.

Subsurface Resources

Code	Issue Summary	Response	Recommended Revision
SS1	Restrict coal mining.	The enabling legislation for both the Moose Range	None.
		(AS 16.20.340) and JPUA (AS 41.23.280-28)	
		perpetuates multiple use of the area and	

		specifically provide for continued mineral exploration and development activities. Coal exploration, development, and mining activities in the Moose Range and JPUA shall be consistent with the Alaska Surface Coal Mining Control and Reclamation Act and its implementing regulations.	
SS2	Recreation should not be restricted within coal lease areas.	Recreation activities are generally not restricted within a coal lease if there are no development activities on the lease. If the lease is actively being developed for mining or mining related activities, access to all or a part of the coal lease(s) may be prohibited and/or controlled. Recreation activities that require the development of facilities would be reviewed individually to ensure they are compatible with the coal lease and are in the best interest of the State.	None.
SS3	Coal is no longer high value, remove the reference.	The value of coal is driven by the market.	Language will be adjusted to reflect 'grade' rather than 'value'.
SS4	Coal mining is not supported by the community and damages wetlands.	Coal exploration, development, and mining activities in the Moose Range and JPUA shall be consistent with the Alaska Surface Coal Mining Control and Reclamation Act and its implementing regulations which includes permitting requirements for hydrology, soils, water, and fish and wildlife, and reclamation.	None.
SS5	Throughout the document, coal reserves are referred to as "high-value", "medium-value", or "low-value". Please change this to "high-grade" (e.g. anthracite reserves), "medium-grade", or "low-grade" based on the hardness and BTU-quality of the coal. Grade is something that can be quantified; value changes with the market and other forces.	Concur that the value of coal is driven by the market. However, bituminous coals, like those that are found in the Moose Range are of a significantly higher value when compared to the sub-bituminous coal produced in the interior.	Language will be adjusted to reflect 'grade' rather than 'value'. Language will also be added that describes how the higher-grade bituminous coal found in the Moose Range is a higher value that lower-grade sub-bituminous coals found in other parts of the state.
SS6	Coal extraction is never the best use of the land.	The enabling legislation for both the Moose Range (AS 16.20.340) and JPUA (AS 41.23.280-28) include mineral exploration and coal development	None.

		as a purpose for their establishment as	
		Legislatively Designated Areas. DNR is mandated	
		to manage both areas for public multiple use.	
SS7	The required access roads necessary to reclaim the	Roads are necessary to complete required	None.
	Jonesville mine will only further derogate the area.	reclamation. Permit-holders are bound by the	
		Alaska Surface Coal Mining Control and	
		Reclamation Act and its implementing regulations	
		which includes permitting requirements for	
		hydrology, soils, water, and fish and wildlife, and	
		reclamation.	
SS8	Reclamation should include returning lands to hydrologic	The regulations that implement the Alaska Surface	None.
	function.	Coal Mining Control and Reclamation Act require	
		operators to protect hydrologic balance and	
		implement a post mining reclamation plan.	
SS9	Close the Castle Mountain area to locatable mineral entry.	The southern face of Castle Mountain is already	None.
		closed to locatable mineral entry.	
SS10	Since the 1986 Moose Range Management Plan was	Concur.	Page 3-31, lines 881 – 883, have
	adopted prior to the passage of AS 38.04.200 Traditional		been adjusted for consistency
	Means of Access, the updated plan needs to be consistent		with AS 38.04.200.
	with AS 38.04.200.		

Materials Sources

Code	Issue Summary	Response	Recommended Revision
MS1	Material mining within active and inactive floodplains are damaging practices for salmon/fish habitat.	Material sources in active or inactive floodplains may only be authorized in concurrence with ADF&G.	None.
MS2	A mineral site management plan should be written and revised every 5 years and include a public process.	Material sites are designated by DNR through a Best Interest Finding process that includes public notice as directed by AS 38.05.035(e). If a site is designated, materials can be sold or conveyed as provided in AS 38.05.550 – 38.05.565.	None.
MS3	Material extraction should be discouraged in the Moose Range.	Material extraction is discouraged in the planning area unless there is no feasible alternative.	None.
MS4	Remove peat from the list of materials that can be extracted.	AS 38.05.965 includes "peat" in the definition of "materials".	None.

MS5	The hydrology and vegetation of material sites should be	Concur.	None.
	restored.		
MS6	Access to material sites should be reclaimed after they are	After the closure of material sites, access to the	None.
	closed to prohibit access.	area will be allowed per Generally Allowed Uses	
		on State land (11 ACC 96.020).	

Trailheads

Code	Issue Summary	Response	Recommended Revision
TH1	The Wendt Road trailhead needs active management if it is going to be improved as a trailhead access point. Include bathrooms.	The plan recommends that an agreement for active trailhead management be in place prior to the authorization of trailhead enhancements at Wendt Road. The plan allows bathrooms at trailheads if appropriate.	None.
TH2	Support fees for parking.	The plan supports the institution of a fee program to pay for facilities and management of the Moose Range and JPUA.	None.
ТНЗ	Legal and accessible designated trailheads are needed on either end of the Chickaloon Trail.	The plan recommends completing a Trail Management Plan and through that process, DNR may identify opportunities for new trails and/or trailheads.	Will add language to the Middle and Eastern Management Subunits stating that after the completion of a Trails Management Plan, DNR may consider authorizing trailheads on either end of the Chickaloon Trail that are managed, maintained, and consistent with adjacent land uses.
TH4	Designate and build trailheads in locations that do not impact private land and that are sized appropriately for trail use and carrying capacity of trail.	The plan recommends completing a Trail Management Plan and through that process, DNR may identify opportunities for new or expanded trailheads that serve the intended use of the lands to which they provide access. The Trail Management Plan process will be a public process.	None.
TH5	New trailheads in the Jonesville subunit should only be developed if deemed necessary through a public process.	Concur. The plan recommends completing a Trail Management Plan and through that process, DNR may identify opportunities for new trails and/or	None.

		trailheads. The Trail Management Plan process will	
		be a public process.	
TH6	A larger parking area and bathrooms are needed at the Murphy Dome access point to the western subunit.	The plan does not prohibit an enhanced access point at Murphy Dome, however, the plan recommends completing a Trail Management Plan and through that process, DNR may identify opportunities for new trails and/or trailheads. The Trail Management Plan process will be a public process.	Will add language to the Western Management Subunit stating that after the completion of a Trails Management Plan, DNR may consider authorizing trailhead improvements on Murphy Road that are managed, maintained, and consistent with adjacent land uses.
TH7	Don't place additional restrictions on trailhead development if improving access is a priority.	The plan does not prohibit trailheads, their development, or their improvement. The plan outlines management guidelines to ensure that trailheads are managed, maintained, compatible with adjacent land uses, and consistent with the intended management intent of the land to which they provide access.	None.

Recreation Use Management

Code	Issue Summary	Response	Recommended Revision
RUM1	Restrict motorized use to protect resources.	The plan recommends the restriction of motorized	None.
		trail use in snow-free and ice-free seasons in the	
		Wasilla Creek headwaters area to authorized	
		hardened routes that will be defined by the Trail	
		Management Plan process. The Trail Management	
		Plan process may recommend restrictions in other	
		subunits as appropriate.	
RUM2	Keep the Moose Range open to motorized use.	To protect resources, the plan recommends the	None.
		restriction of motorized use in snow-free and ice-	
		free seasons in the Wasilla Creek headwaters area	
		in the Western Management Subunit to	
		authorized hardened routes that will be defined	
		by the Trail Management Plan process. Additional	
		restrictions may be identified in the Trail	
		Management Plan process.	

Issue/Response Summary
Matanuska Valley Moose Range & Jonesville Public Use Area Management Plan

RUM3	Support restrictions on Motorized Use between Wendt Road and Buffalo Mine Road, Murphy Road.	The plan recommends the restriction of motorized trail use in snow-free and ice-free seasons in the Wasilla Creek headwaters area to authorized hardened routes that will be defined by the Trail Management Plan process. The Trail Management Plan process may recommend restrictions in other areas as appropriate.	None.
RUM4	Designate a non-motorized area.	The plan does not make a recommendation for a non-motorized area, however, a non-motorized area could be identified through the Trail Management Plan process.	None.
RUM5	Winter motorized use is low impact if snow coverage is adequate.	Concur.	None.
RUM6	Multi-Use designations should remain a priority - other lands are more suitable for single-use designations.	The primary purposes for the creation of the Moose Range (AS 16.20.340) are to maintain, improve, and enhance moose populations and habitat and other wildlife resource of the area, and to perpetuate public multiple use of the area. The primary purposes for the creation of the JPUA (AS 41.23.280-28) include to protect, perpetuate, and enhance year-round public recreation, and to protect and maintain fish and wildlife habitat. DNR is mandated to manage the areas for both wildlife and habitat protection and public multiple use.	None.
RUM7	Designate the Moose Creek Drainage (Moose Creek Canyon) as non-motorized during snow and ice-free seasons.	The plan recommends the restriction of motorized trail use in snow-free and ice-free seasons in the Wasilla Creek headwaters area to authorized hardened routes that will be defined by the Trail Management Plan process.	This restriction (limiting motorized use to hardened trails defined by the Trail Management Plan process) will be expanded to include the entire Western Management Subunit.
RUM8	Delegate management responsibility to the Mat-Su Borough.	The plan does not prohibit DNR from delegating management responsibility through official agreements to others capable of managing land, resources, infrastructure improvements, and the use of public land.	None.

Issue/Response Summary Matanuska Valley Moose Range & Jonesville Public Use Area Management Plan

RUM9	Recreation use through (in the case of legal trail access) or adjacent to private lands should be managed to protect landowners from trespass and abuse.	Concur.	None.
RUM10	Designate the Western Management Subunit as non-motorized.	The plan does not make a recommendation for a non-motorized area. The plan recommends the restriction of motorized trail use in snow-free and ice-free seasons in the Wasilla Creek headwaters area to authorized hardened routes that will be defined by the Trail Management Plan process. The Trail Management Plan process may recommend other restrictions as appropriate.	None.
RUM11	Designate the Moose Creek Drainage as non-motorized during all seasons to protect habitat.	The plan recommends the restriction of motorized trail use in snow-free and ice-free seasons in the Wasilla Creek headwaters area to authorized hardened routes that will be defined by the Trail Management Plan process. When there is adequate snow coverage motorized use does not impact habitat.	This restriction (limiting motorized use to hardened trails defined by the Trail Management Plan process) will be expanded to include the entire Western Management Subunit.
RUM12	Designate a buffer to protect adjacent landowners and residents from public recreation activities.	The public can recreate on State lands consistent with all applicable laws and regulations.	None.
RUM13	Designate all critical wetlands, surrounding riparian habitat, and associated anadromous waters as non-motorized areas.	To protect critical wetlands, riparian habitat, and anadromous waters, the plan recommends the restriction of motorized trail use in snow-free and ice-free seasons in the Wasilla Creek headwaters area to authorized hardened routes that will be defined by the Trail Management Plan process. The Trail Management Plan process may recommend restrictions in other areas as appropriate.	None.
RUM14	Motorized activity should be restricted to specified trails with no off-trail use permitted.	The plan recommends the restriction of motorized trail use in snow-free and ice-free seasons in the Wasilla Creek headwaters area to authorized hardened routes that will be defined by the Trail Management Plan process. The Trail Management Plan process may recommend restrictions in other areas as appropriate.	None.

RUM15	Designate the top of Wishbone Hill as non-motorized use only.	The plan recommends the restriction of motorized trail use in snow-free and ice-free seasons in the Wasilla Creek headwaters area to authorized hardened routes that will be defined by the Trail Management Plan process. The Trail Management Plan process may recommend restrictions in other areas as appropriate.	None.
RUM16	Many uses are compatible with each other (habitat, non-motorized recreation, gentle/responsible forestry). Other uses are less compatible (mining/resource extraction and motorized use/shooting). This plan seems to do a pretty good job of spatially segregating these uses.	Concur.	None.
RUM17	Chapter 3 Western Subunit #126-313. No mention of adjacent areas to the Moose Range i.e. Hatcher Pass Management Area, Little Su Public Use Area, and Government Peak Recreation Area. These areas should be considered as part of the big picture of which the Moose Range is only one part.	Concur.	Will add additional context to page 3-5 to discuss recreation use on adjacent lands.
RUM18	There should be public use sites identified in the Western Management Subunit where more people live.	The addition of Public Use Sites may be appropriate in the Western Management Subunit. The plan recommends completing a Trail Management Plan and through that process, DNR may identify appropriate trails, trail facilities, trailheads, and Public Use Sites.	The Public Use Sites Management Guideline on page 3-10 line 292 will be updated accordingly.

Recreation Facility Development

Code	Issue Summary	Response	Recommended Revision
RFD1	Fix road to Eska Creek Falls Hiking Trail.	The plan does not prohibit this improvement.	A recommendation will be added to the Access and Trails guidelines in the Jonesville Management Subunit that the access road to the Eska Creek Falls Hiking Trail should be improved.
RFD2	The section addressing facilities states plainly that the recommendation is for private entities to step forward to	Inadequate funding for infrastructure development, management, and maintenance is	None.

Issue/Response Summary
Matanuska Valley Moose Range & Jonesville Public Use Area Management Plan

	create infrastructure; letting our community members	an unfortunate reality at present. DNR will	
	=		
	know that DNR isn't willing to take on any responsibility for	continue to pursue funding to increase its	
	the lawless area they created through reclamation.	management presence in the area.	
RFD3	Developed facilities should be sized appropriately to	Concur.	None.
	handle the intended use.		
RFD4	High use areas are problematic without basic	The plan provides recommendations for	None.
	infrastructure and enforcement. Parking, restrooms,	infrastructure development in high-use areas.	
	trashcans, and enforcement are needed.		
RFD5	Develop Kings River as a State-Managed campground.	The plan recommends the development of a	None.
		campground facility at Kings River.	
RFD6	Development of new facilities should only be considered	Concur, in part. It is generally preferable to	None.
20	after existing facilities are expanded and properly	improve or expand existing facilities, however	
	managed.	some instances may warrant a new facility to	
	managea.	serve a different stakeholder or geographic region	
		or to better address a need.	
RFD7	Developing a motocross area is a great idea.	The plan states that DNR may consider authorizing	After review and receiving
KFD/	Developing a motocross area is a great idea.	a motocross area in the Jonesville subunit, Unit J4,	
			additional agency comments, all
		on lands already impacted by mining.	references (Chapter 3, lines 472-
			473) to developing a motocross
			area will be removed. There are
			already motocross areas nearby.
RFD8	Support for prohibiting commercial recreation facilities in	This management guideline is consistent with	Specific management guidelines
	Western, Middle, and Eastern Management Subunits.	what we heard through scoping and from the last	for commercial recreation
		Moose Range Management Plan. Review of this	facilities in the Jonesville
		comment revealed that specific management	Management Subunit will be
		guidelines for commercial recreation facilities	added to the Recreation
		were overlooked for the Jonesville Management	management guidelines on page
		Subunit.	3-22.
RFD9	Support for public use sites at Slipper Lake and Wishbone	Concur.	None.
23	Lake.		
RFD10	Chickaloon River is a whitewater boating opportunity. It	The plan does not prohibit whitewater boating or	Will add language to the
220	would be great to see this resource developed, and I would	infrastructure to support this recreation use.	Recreation Management
	support DNR working on conflict resolution with private	initiastractare to support this recreation use.	Guidelines of the Middle and
	landowners and with CVTC.		Eastern Management Subunits.
DED44		Canaus	
RFD11	Chapter 2 prohibits commercial recreational facility	Concur.	Guidelines will be added to the
	development in all subunits except the Jonesville		Jonesville Management Subunit
	Management Subunit, however there are no area-specific		

management guide	lines in Chapter 3 (Jonesville	in Chapter 3 for commercial
Management Subu	nit) that provide guidance for	recreational facility development.
commercial recrea	ional facility development in that	
subunit.		

Slipper Lake

Code	Issue Summary	Response	Recommended Revision
SL1	There are significant public health & safety concerns at Slipper Lake, including trash, human waste.	Concur. The plan designates Slipper Lake as a Public Use Site. This designation allows DNR to promulgate regulations that restrict certain uses within the area to protect the health and safety of the public.	None.
SL2	There are people setting up permanent camps at Slipper Lake leaving behind trash and displacing recreational campers.	The plan designates Slipper Lake as a Public Use Site. This designation allows DNR to promulgate regulations that restrict uses, including camping, within the area to protect public health and safety.	None.
SL3	Enforcement at Slipper Lake is needed.	Inadequate funding for enforcement is an unfortunate reality at present. DNR will continue to pursue funding to increase its management presence in the area.	None.
SL4	Slipper Lake should be a fee area.	In the future, if there are developed facilities, DNR could charge user fees per 11 AAC 05.010.	None.
SL5	Slipper Lake should be restored to a safe and enjoyable, family friendly recreation place.	The plan designates Slipper Lake as a Public Use Site. This designation allows DNR to promulgate regulations that restrict uses within the area to protect public health and safety.	None.

Technical Corrections

Code	Issue Summary	Response	Recommended Revision
TC1	Any language regarding DNR and ADFG's management	Concur. Please see the definitions for 'shall',	None.
	responsibilities should be direct and explicit.	'should', 'will', and 'may' in the plan's glossary.	
TC2	Maps need to be simplified to improve legibility.	Concur.	The planning team will continue to
			adjust maps to improve legibility.

Issue/Response Summary Matanuska Valley Moose Range & Jonesville Public Use Area Management Plan

TC3	Statements regarding existing wildlife, habitats, forestry etc. must have data available in the document to back claims.	Agency subject matter experts provided data and were consulted in the development of the plan.	None.
TC4	Moose creek is not a State Recreation Area.	Concur.	Will correct language to Moose Creek Campground, DNR land that is seasonally managed, improved, and maintained by Chickaloon Village Traditional Council.
TC5	Long Lake is outside of the Moose Range and should not be considered in this plan.	Yes, Long Lake is outside of the Moose Range, however including discussion about the lake provides recreational context.	None.
TC6	Moose Creek no longer has a Glacier fed headwater. Remove language suggesting it is.	Although there have been significant glacial retreat two datasets indicate there is still glacial ice, some covered by debris, in the mountains above Moose Creek. The Randolph Glacier Inventory (RGI) and a National Park Service dataset (Glacier Covered Area for the State of Alaska, 1985 – 2020, Version 1) were both consulted.	The reference to glaciers will be deleted, however. The deletion has no impact on the plan's policies or management guidelines.
ТС7	There are many Chickaloon Native Village/Chickaloon Moose Creek Native Association selected lands that have not been adjudicated/conveyed by the BLM in this plan area. Maps should call out areas that have been "selected" but not conveyed.	Concur. There are various lands in the Middle and Eastern Management Subunits that are dually selected by both the State and Chickaloon Native Village/Chickaloon Moose Creek Native Association. The Land Ownership paragraph on page 1-3, lines 83-84 describes this briefly. DNR adjudicators are responsible for understanding land status when considering authorizations.	None.
TC8	Wendt road is an existing road and should not be identified as new.	Concur.	The Wendt Road Trailhead description on Page 3-8 will be removed from this section. A new "Trailheads" sub-bullet will be created, and Wendt Road will be moved there.
TC9	Change all reference to ADFG to "ADFG or other entities".	ADFG has specific authority for fish and wildlife management in the Moose Range and JPUA. There may be places in the draft plan where it makes sense to add "other entities".	Will correct language to add "other entities" only if appropriate.

Issue/Response Summary

Matanuska Valley Moose Range & Jonesville Public Use Area Management Plan

TC10	Ensure all recreation uses are reflected.	Change will be made.	Will add "Ice fishing" to page 3-13. Will add "biking, winter biking, and skiing" to Chapter 1 (Page 1-1).
TC11	Add the public access area for Seventeen Mile Lake. Page 2-3: Seventeen Mile Lake includes a public access area.	Concur.	Will update Map 2 on page 2-4.
TC12	Please replace "significant mineral value" with "mineral reserves".	Change will be made.	Will update Page 2-16 line 520 by replacing the words "significant mineral values" with "mineral reserves".
TC13	Add the EPA to list of agency consultations regarding material site designation on line 691 of page 2-21.	Consultation with the EPA is not required for material site designation.	None.
TC14	The document should recognize the Alpine Historical Park.	Concur.	Reference to the Alpine Historical Park will be added to page 2-9.
TC15	There are a variety of clerical, grammatical, and spelling errors that have been identified.	Concur.	All clerical, grammatical, and spelling errors will be fixed.

Proposed Regulations

Code	Issue Summary	Response	Recommended Revision
R1	Support proposed regulations.	Concur.	None.

Plan Purpose & Goals

Code	Issue Summary	Response	Recommended Revision
PP1	Support the purpose of the Moose Range and JPUA.	Concur.	None.
PP2	Add goals to allocate DNR resources to repair habitat.	DNR management plans are binding policy for the management of state land and resources, and they direct permitting, leasing, and other decisions made by DNR. Staff must follow DNR management plans when adjudicating authorizations for the use of state land. After adoption of this plan, DNR may promulgate regulations to implement its recommendations	None.

			1
		implementation, however the plan itself does not	
		allocate funds or resources.	
PP3	Reiterate the importance of wetland protection	The areawide management objectives and	None.
	throughout the plan.	guidelines for water, wetlands, and waterbodies	
		state that the management intent is for wetlands	
		to be protected.	
PP4	Clearly state the area wide goals, objectives, and	These areawide goals are formatted to provide	None.
	guidelines at the beginning of the document as an	both consistency and update to the goals from the	
	alphabetized list.	previous Moose Range Management Plan.	
PP5	Recreation: I absolutely support these goals. They should	Concur.	None.
	be the priority for the entire plan.		
PP6	Page 2-33. Add the protection of water quality as a goal. In	The goals for the areawide trails section, as	None.
	addition to the damage done by motorized vehicles on	written, align with the enabling legislation for the	
	stream crossings, users often use water to wash dirt/oils	Moose Range and JPUA which do not specify	
	from their vehicles.	management for water quality.	
PP7	Page 2-1: Overall goals should include protection of water	The goals, as written, align with the enabling	None.
	quality.	legislation for the Moose Range and JPUA which	
		do not specify management for water quality.	
PP7	stream crossings, users often use water to wash dirt/oils from their vehicles. Page 2-1: Overall goals should include protection of water	Moose Range and JPUA which do not specify management for water quality. The goals, as written, align with the enabling legislation for the Moose Range and JPUA which	None.

Plan Process

Code	Issue Summary	Response	Recommended Revision
P1	Extend the Comment Period.	The public comment period was open from	None.
		September 22, 2023, through November 9, 2023,	
		48 days. This is longer than the statutorily required	
		minimum review period of 30 days. (AS 38.05.945)	
P2	Combining the Jonesville Plan with the Moose Range Plan	Because the JPUA lies almost entirely within the	None.
	is complicated and too lengthy of a process.	Moose Range, the Moose Range plan had to be	
		revised to address this new legislatively designated	
		area and the overlap in authorities. Hence, the	
		Moose Range and the JPUA, due to their	
		overlapping boundaries and similar purposes, will	
		be managed through one management plan. This	
		provides the opportunity to develop an improved	
		framework for DNR and other managing agencies	
		to manage incompatible uses, minimize impacts to	
		resources, and enforce subsequent regulations.	

		The JPUA and lands adjacent to the JPUA will be	
		managed as the Jonesville Subunit of the Moose	
		Range and includes unit-specific management	
		guidelines that implement the intent of the JPUA	
		enabling legislation.	
Р3	Plan does not adequately acknowledge the Mat-Su	The MSB does not have the authority to develop a	None.
	Borough Management Plan developed for Jonesville.	management plan for State lands. DNR has	
		considered and included appropriate elements of	
		the MSB plan as part of this planning effort.	
P4	Plan does not address public safety.	The plan provides numerous management	None.
		guidelines so that DNR can promulgate regulations	
		that address public safety issues in the Moose	
		Range and JPUA.	
P5	Plan doesn't make concrete proposals.	DNR management plans are binding policy for the	None.
		management of state land and resources, and they	
		direct permitting, leasing, and other decisions	
		made by DNR. Staff must follow DNR management	
		plans when adjudicating authorizations for	
		proposals for the use of state land.	
Р6	The list of plans reviewed as part of the section on	The Matanuska-Susitna Borough Jonesville Public	Will add narrative on page 1-7
	"Relationships to Other Plans" should be expanded.	Use Area Management Plan was reviewed.	describing the Chickaloon
	There are several other plans from surrounding		Community Comprehensive Plan
	communities that should be reviewed as part of this		and the Fishhook Community
	planning process. Jonesville Area Management Plan, 2021,		Comprehensive Plan.
	adopted by the Mat-Su Borough. Chickaloon Community		Comprehensive rian.
	Comprehensive Plan 2008, Fishhook Community		
	Comprehensive Plan.		

Signage

Code	Issue Summary	Response	Recommended Revision
S1	Do not install signs because they are damaged by shooting.	The plan does not prohibit the installation of	None.
		signage.	
S2	More signs are needed to help trail navigation.	The plan does not prohibit the installation of wayfinding signage, however funding for planning,	None.
		development, installation, and maintenance is required.	

Issue/Response Summary

Matanuska Valley Moose Range & Jonesville Public Use Area Management Plan

S3	Signage is needed to inform users about habitat.	The plan does not prohibit the installation of informational or interpretive signage, however funding for planning, development, installation, and maintenance is required.	None.
S4	More signage is needed to inform users about rules, allowed uses, regulations, and prohibitions.	The plan does not prohibit the installation of signage.	None.
S 5	Signage about the Native Alaskan cultural history and area significant should be created and installed in collaboration with Chickaloon Native Village.	The plan does not prohibit the installation of informational or interpretive signage, however funding for planning, development, installation, and maintenance is required.	None.

Implementation

Code	Issue Summary	Response	Recommended Revision
I1	Prioritize the Trail Management Plan. Dedicate funding for completion and implementation of the Trail Management Plan.	Concur.	None.
12	Ensure there are enough staff dedicated to managing, maintaining, and implementing the plan.	After adoption of this plan, DNR may promulgate regulations to implement its recommendations, including restrictions on trail use, weapons discharge, and dumping. DNR will continue to pursue funding to increase its management presence in the area.	None.
13	Public funds should not be used for plan implementation.	Although private funds can be used to implement the plan, it is inevitable that public funds will also be utilized.	None.
14	Plan does little to implement anything.	DNR management plans are binding policy for the management of state land and resources, and they direct permitting, leasing, and other decisions made by DNR. Staff must follow DNR management plans when adjudicating authorizations for the use of state land. After adoption of this plan, DNR may promulgate regulations to implement its recommendations, including restrictions on trail use, weapons discharge, and dumping.	None.

15	Fund a shooting range. Numerous funding sources are	The plan allows the development of a shooting	None.
	available for a shooting range.	range. Feasibility investigations will be necessary.	
	a constant of the constant of	Concur that there are numerous funding sources	
		available for implementation.	
16	Revenue from campgrounds and recreation facilities in the	In the future, if there are developed facilities,	None.
	Moose Range should be used for management and improvements.	DNR could charge user fees per 11 AAC 05.010.	
	·	However, the Alaska Constitution prohibits	
		dedicated funds and DNR DMLW doesn't collect	
		enough fees to warrant the process required to	
		re-allocate collected funds back into the site.	
17	How is the implementation of this plan being funded?	Funds for plan implementation have yet to be	None.
		identified.	
18	Ensure that implementation funds are included for the	Implementation funds are beyond the scope of	None.
	Western Management Subunit and not only Jonesville.	this plan.	
19	Initial focus of implementation should be on Jonesville.	This plan does not prioritize certain areas for	None.
		implementation.	
110	The plan's recreation goals should be priority for	This plan does not prioritize certain uses over	None.
	implementation and funding.	others for implementation.	
I11	Don't require a trail management plan before trail or access	In some cases, DNR needs a more thorough	None.
	projects can proceed.	understanding of the capacity of trails that	
		trailheads serve in the Moose Range and JPUA	
		before authorizing additional or expanded	
		access.	
l12	DNR isn't required to institute a fee program.	Concur.	Change 'will' to 'may' on page 4-7
			line 195.

Public Access

Code	Issue Summary	Response	Recommended Revision
PA1	Legal public access points to the Moose Range and JPUA	The plan recommends that DNR should verify	None.
	should be located and surveyed.	and protect legal public access on existing roads	
		and trails throughout the Moose Range and JPUA	
		as staffing and funding allows.	
PA2	Trails and Trailheads without legal public access should not	On page 2-2 the plan acknowledges that any	Language will be added to maps,
	be shown on maps.	management actions for access points, roads,	trails and access points are
			included for land management

		and trails identified on Map 2 must complete	purposes and users should not
		research to verify their legal status.	assume the route or access point
		rescurent to verify their legar status.	is available for public use.
			Language will be added to Map 2
			to acknowledge that access
			points may or may not have
			verified legal access and that any
			management actions for access
			points, roads, and trails identified
			on Map 2 must complete
			research to verify their legal
			status.
DA 2	Maybe there sould be a statement more clearly defining	The number of this plan is to make management	None.
PA3	Maybe there could be a statement more clearly defining	The purpose of this plan is to make management recommendations for state-owned land and	None.
	private property rights and state limitations on private		
	lands.	waters in the Moose Range and JPUA. The plan	
		does not make statements about the rights of	
		other property owners. Page 1-6 line 156 of the	
		public review draft state that this plan does not	
		apply to private lands.	
PA4	Public access should be designed to protect water quality.	The plan's guideline for public recreation access	None.
		requires that new trails and roads be sited,	
		designed, and maintained to avoid or minimize	
		impacts to fish, wildlife, and their habitats.	
PA5	There isn't public access for #1 on Map 2.	There is legal public access, however access is	#1 will be removed from Map 2
		impractical due to the very challenging terrain.	and all other access points on
			Map 2 will be renumbered.

Cultural and Heritage Resources

Code	Issue Summary	Response	Recommended Revision
CH1	Tribes should be consulted as part of the planning or development of any project.	DNR consults with OHA for guidance on the required consultation for projects on State land with other agencies, governments, and stakeholders on a project-by-project basis.	None.
CH2	Ensure that undiscovered sites could be located anywhere in the MVMR, not just Jonesville.	Concur.	Management guidelines for Undiscovered Sites will be added to Chapter 2.

Issue/Response Summary

Matanuska Valley Moose Range & Jonesville Public Use Area Management Plan

CH3	Surveys by Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs)	Per Section 41.35.070 of the Alaska Historic	None.
	should be encouraged and officially recognized in addition	Preservation Act, a cultural survey may be	
	to SHPO surveys.	performed prior to public construction projects.	
		To perform a survey on a public construction	
		project (on State land), the principal investigator	
		must obtain a State Cultural Resource	
		Investigation Permit (SCRIP) from the Office of	
		History and Archaeology (OHA). While OHA may	
		perform these surveys, this does not prevent	
		cultural resource surveys from being conducted	
		by other parties for either research or project	
		related purposes—provided the requirements of	
		the SCRIP are met. Line 274-281 on page 2-10 of	
		the Public Review Draft describes heritage	
		resources identification.	
CH4	DNR and SHPO should continue to work closely with Tribes	Concur.	None.
	and their respective historic preservation offices in the		
	ongoing process of designating and protecting cultural		
	resources.		

Land Use Designations

Code	Issue Summary	Response	Recommended Revision
LU1	Remove coal as a land use designation.	The enabling legislation for both the Moose Range (AS 16.20.340) and JPUA (AS 41.23.280-28) perpetuate multiple use of the area and specifically provide for continued mineral exploration and development activities. DNR is required to designate land-uses consistent with enabling legislation.	None.

Water

Code	Issue Summary	Response	Recommended Revision
W1	Plan does not mention water quality protection. This	DNR grants water rights in Alaska and	None.
	should be specifically identified.	issues temporary authorizations for the use of	
		water; facilitates the maximum use of the water	
		resource consistent with the public interest; and	
		provides certainty and security of water rights.	
		The Department of Environmental Conservation	
		(DEC) has broad responsibility to conserve,	
		improve, and protect water quality to enhance	
		the health, safety, economic, and social well-	
		being of Alaskans. DEC's Water Division issues	
		permits or authorizations for point source	
		discharges of wastewater. The Water Division	
		also has a non-point source program that	
		establishes best management practices for	
		preventing pollutants from entering water	
		bodies. This program also identifies water bodies	
		that have been impaired by pollutants and works	
		with stakeholders on plans for waterbody	
		recovery. DNR consults with DEC as necessary	
		when issuing authorizations.	