



National Register of
Historic Places
&
Local Historic Preservation
Commissions

National Historic Preservation Act

54 U.S.C. 302503

CLGs, criteria for certification:

(a)(4): provides for adequate participation in the local historic preservation program, including the process of recommending properties for nomination to the National Register



National Historic Preservation Act

54 U.S.C. 302504

(a) NOTICE.-- Before a property within the jurisdiction of a certified local government may be considered by the State to be nominated to the Secretary for inclusion on the National Register the State Historic Preservation Officer shall notify the owner, the applicable chief local elected official, and the local historic preservation commission.

(b) REPORT. -- The local historic preservation commission, after reasonable opportunity for public comment, shall prepare a report as to whether the property, in the commission's opinion, meets the criteria of the National Register. Within 60 days of notice from the State Historic Preservation Officer, the chief local elected official shall transmit the report of the commission and the recommendation of the local official to the State Historic Preservation Office.

Alaska CLG Program Guidelines

Section E



In addition to what is in the NHPA, CLGs:

- Shall solicit comments from all local tribal entities.
- For a property nominated for its architecture, the architect on the commission, or consultant, must agree the property is eligible for listing under that criterion.
- For a property nominated for its archaeological potential, the archaeologist on the commission, or consultant, must agree the property is eligible for listing under that criterion.

Basic questions

- Is it clear what is being nominated?
- To the best of your knowledge, is the information in the nomination correct?
- Does the nomination have sufficient information to document the significance and the integrity?



What to discuss about significance

- Criteria for significance
 - Does the documentation establish that the property is historically significant?
 - Does the documentation justify the property meets the criteria selected?
 - If a criteria consideration applies, is it adequately addressed?
- Do you agree with the established period of significance?
 - Are the starting and ending dates accurate and well explained?
 - Does the documentation have information about the property throughout its period of significance?
- Are the areas of significance appropriate?
 - Is enough information relating the property to the area of significance (theme) provided?
- Is national, state, or local significance most appropriate for the property?



What to discuss about physical description

- Does the property *as it looks today* today convey the historic significance of the property during its period of significance? Is this clearly articulated in the documentation?
- Have the seven aspects of integrity been addressed in the description?
location, design, setting, workmanship, materials, feeling, and association





**Alaska CLG National Register of Historic Places
Nomination Review Report Form**

Property name: Address: Certified Local Government: Date of public meeting when nomination reviewed:

Criteria of significance (For more information on the criteria see *National Register Bulletin: How to Apply the National Register Criteria for Evaluation*)

- o Criterion A: Property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to our history.
- o Criterion B: Property is associated with the lives of persons significant in our past.
- o Criterion C: Property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction, or represents a work of a master, or possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction (a district).
- o Property has yielded or may be likely to yield information important in prehistory or history.
- o None of the above.

Integrity (For more information on integrity see *National Register Bulletin: How to Apply the National Register Criteria for Evaluation*)

- o The property retains authentic historic character from its period of significance.
- o The property has been significantly altered and no longer retains authentic historic character from its period of significance.

Review comments

Commission

- o The commission recommends that the property is significant, retains integrity, and is eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places.
- o The commission recommends that the property does not meet the criteria of significance and/or no longer retains integrity and is not eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places.
- o The commission chooses not to make a recommendation on the nomination. An explanation of the lack of a recommendation is attached.

Chief Elected Official

- o The chief elected official recommends that the property is significant, retains integrity and is eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places.
- o The chief elected official recommends that the property does not meet the criteria of significance and d/or no longer retains integrity and is not eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places.
- o The chief elected official chooses not to make a recommendation on the nomination. An explanation of the lack of a recommendation is attached.

Attach additional sheets for further comments.

 Signature, CLG Commission Chair
 Printed name:

 Date

 Signature, Chief Elected Official
 Printed name:

 Date

