

Alaska LNG

Project Update

House Resources Committee

February 18, 2015

Marty Rutherford – Department of Natural Resources
Randy Hoffbeck and Dona Keppers – Department of Revenue
Dan Fauske – AGDC
Vincent Lee – TransCanada

Bill McMahon – ExxonMobil
Dave Van Tuyl – BP
Darren Meznarich – ConocoPhillips

Alaska LNG Project Arrangements

Sponsor Group

Dept. of Nat.
Resources

Dept. of
Revenue

AGDC

TransCanada

ConocoPhillips


BP

ExxonMobil

Sponsor Group

- Parties with a stake in advancing Alaska gas development
- Meets regularly to facilitate progress
- Concerned with all aspects of Alaska LNG Project

Alaska LNG Project Arrangements



¹ DOE application also covers any potential State RIK and TAG volumes

Alaska LNG Project Arrangements

Sponsor Group

Dept. of Nat.
Resources

Dept. of
Revenue

AGDC

TransCanada

ConocoPhillips

BP

ExxonMobil

The Administration represents the State of Alaska

- Department of Natural Resources (DNR) administers the State's royalty interest and pipeline rights of way
- Department of Revenue (DOR) administers regulation / collection of taxes
- Depending on State's election to take royalty in kind (RIK) and election by ExxonMobil, BP and ConocoPhillips for the State to take production Tax as Gas (TAG), State could receive about 25% of resulting gas production
- DNR/DOR also represent other State interests as resource owner, potential shipper and sovereign, including fiscal issues, security of gas supply (in the event of RIK and TAG), and facilitating expansion and third-party access

Alaska LNG Project Arrangements

Sponsor Group

Dept. of Nat.
Resources

Dept. of
Revenue

AGDC

TransCanada

ConocoPhillips

BP

ExxonMobil

For Pre-FEED, AGDC and TransCanada represent the State of Alaska's infrastructure interests in the Project

- AGDC represents the State's ownership interest in LNG Plant
- TransCanada represents the State's ownership interest in the pipeline and Gas Treatment Plant (GTP)

Alaska LNG Project Arrangements

Sponsor Group

Dept. of Nat.
Resources

Dept. of
Revenue

AGDC

TransCanada

ConocoPhillips

BP

ExxonMobil

ExxonMobil, BP and ConocoPhillips hold rights to produce natural gas from Prudhoe Bay Unit and Point Thomson Unit

- Working how to commercialize those resources
- Each represents their respective companies and shareholders in efforts to commercialize ANS natural gas, including ownership in the new Alaska LNG Project facilities

Alaska LNG Project Arrangements

Sponsor Group

Dept. of Nat.
Resources

Dept. of
Revenue

AGDC

TransCanada

ConocoPhillips


BP

ExxonMobil


AKLNG
Project
Pre-FEED

- Integrated team includes experienced personnel from ExxonMobil (Lead), TransCanada, BP and ConocoPhillips
- Currently in Pre-FEED (Pre Front End Engineering Design)
- Advancing engineering of AKLNG Project facilities
- Drafting project execution plans
- Refining overall cost and schedules
- Developing environmental permitting applications
- Cooperation agreement with AGDC on field data collection



Alaska LNG Project Facilities



Alaska LNG Project Arrangements




Alaska LNG Project Arrangements



- Preparations for commercial natural gas production are underway at both Point Thomson Unit (PTU) and Prudhoe Bay Unit (PBU)
- Significant natural gas development required at PTU including drilling and gas handling facilities
- PBU would also require some new facilities
- Working with AOGCC on gas offtake authorizations

Alaska LNG Project Arrangements




AKLNG
Project
Pre-FEED

Gas
Production

Commercial

- SB 138 Lease Modifications to address RIK / RIV switching and PTU SSR / NPS leases
- DNR considering State Royalty in Kind
- Commercial foundation agreements among State, AGDC, TransCanada, ExxonMobil, BP and ConocoPhillips to be sufficiently completed before fiscal action by the Legislature
 - Governance for long term venture
 - Gas supply to Project
 - Gas / LNG liftings from Project
 - In-state gas
 - Expansion
 - Others
- Long-term Firm Transportation Services Agreement (FTSA) between TransCanada and State

Alaska LNG Project Arrangements



AKLNG
Project
Pre-FEED


Gas
Production

Commercial

Fiscal


- Predictable and durable fiscal terms needed due to unprecedented scale, complexity and costs
- Also required to compete in global energy markets
- Fiscal terms are a key enabler for the Project, and also with LNG Buyers, lenders and investors
- Building on Jan 2014 HOA and SB 138
- Considering options to make terms predictable / durable and to address property taxes
 - Work products from MAGPRB important to finding lasting solutions
- Legislative review and approval will be needed

Alaska LNG Project Arrangements



- DOE Export Authorization on track
- NEPA Pre-File process sets foundation for the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)
 - First draft of Resource Reports submitted in February
 - Specific, anticipated project impacts and proposed mitigation measures will be included in second draft of Resource Reports
 - Two drafts of Resource Reports before final submission intended to facilitate stakeholder engagement

Alaska LNG Project Arrangements



- Facilitating public dialogue about the Alaska LNG Project
- Project of this magnitude / complexity cannot succeed without broad support

Deliverables to Support 2016 FEED Decision

AKLNG Project	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Pre-FEED Project Deliverables
Gas Production	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• PBU / PTU Development Plans, AOGCC Offtake
Commercial	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Key Agreements (e.g., Lease Modifications, Governance, Gas Supply, FTSA)
Fiscal	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Property Tax, Royalty-in-Kind, Predictable / Durable Terms
Regulatory	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• DOE Export Licenses, FERC NEPA progress
External Affairs / Government Relations	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Broad support for Project

Timeline

- Continuing to target FEED decision 2Q 2016; resourcing effort accordingly
- Planning for 90-day public review prior to formal legislative review
 - Certain agreements to be signed by State require approval of Legislature
- Given magnitude of FEED decision for each party, essential that time be taken to satisfactorily complete all deliverables