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Daily production difference between forecast and actual production: <1,500 bbl
• Production is relatively flat:
  o FY15 to FY17 increase in production (~2-3% per year)
  o FY17 to FY18 decrease in production (~1.5%)

• Recent Major Changes in Production
  o Prudhoe Bay Unit
    • Non-rig workovers → increase active well count
    • Reservoir modeling → identifying targets
    • Facilities modeling → planning maintenance
    • Doing more with less → operational efficiency
  o Kuparuk Unit
    • DS-2S (Sharks Tooth)
    • 1H-NEWS
  o Colville River Unit
    • CD5
  o GMT1:
    • First oil Oct 2018

• Future Projects coming in:
  o Near future:
    • Milne Point Moose Pad, CD5 Expansion, GMT2
  o Farther out:
    • Exciting discoveries moving forward (Pikka, Willow)
    • Old discoveries now moving forward (Liberty)
Currently producing (CP) fields remain backbone of state oil production in near and medium term. Near-term projects under development (UD), often within existing fields, impact 12-month outlook.

Future fields (UE), which are currently being evaluated by operators, begin to play a more significant role in production in the next 5-6 years.
FALL 2018 PRODUCTION FORECAST
**Fall 2018 Forecast Objectives**

- Provide a 10-year official production forecast for the State’s Revenue Sources Book

- **Maintain focus on near-term accuracy**
  - More emphasis on most recent history in projections for the near future
  - Include seasonal changes in production to improve near-term view

- **Increase focus on longer-term accuracy**
  - Ensure product is valid for longer-term projections, based on individual field characteristics and operator plans
  - Apply engineering constraints to ensure realistic projection of near-term production characteristics into the out years
**Production Categories – Definitions**

**Forecast duration:** 10-year official forecast

- **Currently Producing (CP):** online by 6/18
  - Oil from existing wells in currently producing pools

- **Under Development (UD):** < 12 months
  - Oil from projects that will add incremental oil to existing fields, or fields with first oil within one year
  - Projects in Plan of Development document, often scheduled and part of operator’s annual budget

- **Under Evaluation (UE):** >12 months
  - Oil from projects likely to occur in the future, but which have not met the requirements of the previous category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Production Category</th>
<th>Forecast Year</th>
<th>Start July 1</th>
<th>End June 30</th>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CP</strong></td>
<td>Production online at 6/18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UD</strong></td>
<td>Production expected to be online within 1 year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td><strong>FY2019</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td><strong>FY2020</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td><strong>FY2021</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td><strong>FY2022</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td><strong>FY2023</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td><strong>FY2024</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td><strong>FY2025</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td><strong>FY2026</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>2027</td>
<td><strong>FY2027</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2027</td>
<td>2028</td>
<td><strong>FY2028</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Production categories: Addressing Uncertainty**

- **Currently Producing (CP) fields:**
  - Relatively small uncertainty range due to established behavior of producing pools
  - Probabilistic Decline Curve Analysis projections

- **Projects Under Development (UD):**
  - More uncertainty than CP
  - Uncertainties include financial and reservoir performance risks
  - Probabilistic type wells

- **Projects Under Evaluation (UE):**
  - More uncertain than CP and UD
  - Financial risk: using project breakeven price and State official price forecast
  - Other uncertainties include
    - Chance of occurrence in the 10-year forecast window
    - Timing; start of sustained production
    - Production profile/reservoir performance (probabilistic type wells)
Continued focus on both short-and long-term forecast accuracy

• DOG Forecast maintains balanced focus on near and long term accuracy, and continues to evaluate underlying assumptions for its short and long term outlook on each field

• This approach is important for the forecast to continue to serve multiple purposes
  – Near-term accuracy required to support the State’s near-term budgeting goals
  – Long-term accuracy required to support State’s long term revenue projections and decisions around long-term fiscal picture
  – Field level accuracy required for realistic assessment of impact of near- and long-term development plans on non-state land (NPRA development, etc.)
Near-term Focus

- Ensuring clearest possible outlook in the near term
  - Near term guidance is based on the most recent pool information, operational practices and performance

- Emphasis is placed on near-term production to capture impacts of scheduled maintenance/turn-around events

- Probabilistic Decline Curve Analysis weighted toward recent production history

- Full credit to planned UD production
  - Makes for more accurate near-term production forecast and helps account for rate additions due to field efficiency improvements
Near-term focus: North Slope

- Ensuring accurate forecasts in the near term to support revenue planning in the next fiscal year
- Also, tracking observed monthly production variations
Realistic Long-term Projection

- Attention to realistic long-range outlook for the fields reflecting field development plans

- Decline Curve Analysis on current production emphasizes recent history but also considers previous history of the fields

- Engineering judgement is applied to honor field development and reservoir engineering constraints

- Future projects that add to production in out years are based on current project definition, project characteristics and uncertainty analysis
• Fall 2018 Forecast: Producers’ outlook/forecast falls within DOG production forecast range
Increasing uncertainty as new fields/projects come online

Production Forecast Range (All Alaska)

Increasing uncertainty (wider range) in longer-term forecast
PROJECTS UNDER EVALUATION MEDIUM TO LONG TERM

[Map showing various projects under evaluation, including Smith Bay Dev, Nuna-Nuiksut Dev, Nuna-Torok Dev, Fiord West Dev, GMT1 Dev, GMT2 Dev, Pikka Unit Dev, Narwhal Horseshoe Trend, Nuna-Nuiksut ODS Dev, Nuna-Torok Dev, Moose Pad Dev, Moraine Dev, Placer Unit Dev, Mustang Dev, Guitar Unit Dev, Ugnu Dev, Liberty Unit Dev, PTU Expansion, Umiat Dev, and other developments.]
Portfolio-scale rollup of all projects anticipated to begin production in years 2-10 of the forecast. While this is the best risk-weighted prediction of how the entire portfolio will perform, it does not necessarily reflect how any individual field would perform if it came online in the forecast period.
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