

## Issues identified at the public meetings held on October 27, 2008 and November 5, 2008.

- Future infrastructure – Knik Arm Bridge? Fish Creek Management Plan?
- Barges
- Boat storage
- Float planes/noise
- Access – Special Use Permit process
- Skeetna – swamp trail
- Public notice – needs to be complete
- Contact cabin renters
- Winter motorized use
  - Determining “adequate snow cover”
  - Park boundaries – crossing into by snowmachine when closed
- Plan recommended contrary to regulation?
- Threshold for changing plan – what is it?
- Keep 1983 plan, enforce it
- Zone – specific rules, recognize different areas of park have different issues
- Snowmobiling in park is fun
- Why should some people be allowed motorized use and not general public?
- Subsequent meetings with other groups
- 1983 survey – revisit results?
- Quiet/natural outdoor recreation
- Canoe trail maintenance
- Acquisition of inheld parcels
- Conflicts arising from increase in subdivided parcels
- Define who is authorized for Butterfly permits?
- Invasive species
- Pike abate
- Difference in ATV use
- Winter parking – loss of Nancy Lake Marina
- Trespass onto private property
- Unauthorized users – bible camp
- Maintenance of Lynx Lake Road
- increased property crime – inholders
- Lynx Lake gate
- Better park/trail signing especially winter, remote
- Butterfly trail – provides better emergency/public safety access
- Lack of enforcement due to lack of resources in remote area
- Butterfly – growth of ATV use elsewhere?
- W/O enforcement – no reg. is good
- Public notice – more extensive notice distribution within the early stages of the process, to help identify issues
- Access on a park-wide level
- Original plan addressed issues, why re-do the plan? Pre-existing plan address all issues. Review old plan
- Existing plan already addresses ATV and other access issues p41 & 88 (look into)
- Existing plan does not regulate/enforce access motorized issues
- Identify existing & historic values as per the creation of the original park
- Identify what is a appropriate use/value
- Grandfather rights. How do they affect the plan? How do they come into play?
- Increase campgrounds to facilitate uses. Campsites, outhouse facilities
- Trash
- Better mapping of campsite, facilities, portages
- Opening snowmachines, when to do this? & any snow vehicles
- Clear definition of “what is a snowvehicle” ex. ATV, truck etc...
- Damage to the park, trash, uses
- Address ATV damage
- Candlestick Lake drainage related to ATV trail use
- Litter, park trash
- Previous meetings and existing information related to ATV, trails & uses. See previous meeting notes. Issues have been voiced before!!
- Address park role in addressing access to inholders & other uses/owners outside the park
- Butterfly Lake ATV access. What is legal & what is not legal access. When & is it enforced.
- When was the permit for the trail established
- Why is ATV use legal now!
- Parks should identify “what is traditional use”>> (also before the park was formed”

- Why did the state grant permission to build/use the trail (Butterfly) “now try to take it away.” Look into the state records/notes
- History of traditional use
- Option for parallel trail to Butterfly Lake could be property owner funded a non-motorized trail
- Who gets permits and how. What is the process & who can get a permit. Do you need to be a property owner?? (Inside vs outside the park?)
- Increasing facilities for disabled people
- Better signage & mapping of the facilities. Plan maps and sign related maps.
- Is there a remedy for folks that currently or had a permit to get another in the future.
- Increase parking for winter recreation in & outside of the park. Illegal parking occurs.
- Not enough parking in general
- Want another opportunity to comment before the PRD
- Preliminary alternatives before the PRD would be useful, and provide an opportunity to provide input based upon issues identified during scoping.
- Be broader in public notice & in the public participation process. Many people were not on “a list.” More outreach to the public in general
- Display ads for public notice process
- Water quality issues. ADF&G permits for AS impacts
- Butterfly Lake barge traffic increases with ATV’s & fuel transportation. Poter trail for environmental impacts
- Transport of materials should be confined to winter time.
- Trucks off trail (winter trail) do potential damage
- Damage may be minimal or significant
- If access (ATV) is allowed is there adequate funding of control, maintenance & regulation as to “where they ride.”
- What are the consequences of allowing ATV use park-wide, especially Butterfly Lake trails & park-wide
- Why permit use on Butterfly & not Red Shirt. (What is the difference??)
- How to improve public outreach??
- Meeting with Red Shirt Home Owners Association
- What is the role of the park to provide access to inholders within the park & other outside
- Is access to “outside” owners/uses as important?
- Access for the disabled/vets specifically to Butterfly trail
- Inconsistency between rules and regulations concerning ATV use. It is a state park.
- Why are some trails permitted & others are not. What is the criteria for allowing ATV access is some & some not
- A state park is a “state park.” Encourage the original uses & values of which our parks were created. Traditionally non-motorized
- More cross-country ski trails & a public use cabin to promote more opportunities
- Early season access to Red Shirt Lake is applicable to Butterfly and Lynx Lakes
- Maintain integrity of Park and opportunity for experiences
- Addressing trails both inside and outside of the state park boundary
- Increase parking at Red Shirt
- Continue Lynx Lake road to Butterfly Lake for vehicles
- Parks duty to provide access within and through the park
- Recognize existing multi-use of Butterfly trail (ATV, hiking)
- Trail currently maintained by private individuals and \$\$
- Upgrade/harden existing trails to sustainable ORV standards
- Trails maintenance organization responsible for upkeep of trails
- Lack of public process/notice before 2001 trail work
- Park not fulfilling duty related to ATV’s, structures
- Lack of enforcement – aircraft touch and go’s, etc
- Link quality of experience with level of development – appropriate level of use
- Protect park resources, habitat, for wildlife and public recreational users and inholder to enjoy quiet recreation

- Address separately issues for different areas (ie. Red Shirt vs Butterfly vs Nancy)
- Weigh different recreational/access issues fairly
- Widen this public process – not enough notification – I.D. park users
- Look at original plan (83) and maintain intent
- Should private construction be allowed in a park (unsupervised, unpermitted)
- Does park have resources to police ATV trail and limit to intended use?
- Boat storage/facility development Butterfly Lake and other areas
- Storage of private property on state land
- Experience within park changed/degraded due to increasing ATV use
- Develop Butterfly Lake trail as multi-use trail
- Accessibility better with motorized
- Consider impact to property owners by others crossing through park (Butterfly/Delyndia)(Res Shirt/Cow Lake)
- Consider impacts of management decision on recreational use (Lynx – Butterfly) include all park users
- Should we be making a corridor to resources outside the park?
- Protection of access – property access
- Protection of traditional access for property inholders
- Should new access through the park via easement for motorized use be authorized?
- Permitted uses on trail/trail standards
- Maintain character consistent with 1983 plan
- Look at all access types (i.e. aircraft)
- Address Lynx Lake Road in its entirety : gate to lake
- Protect park for future generations
- Limit ATV authorizations for special/restricted purposes (i.e. bldg materials)
- Post RTCA findings on website (Butterfly trail)
- Kids don't float at Butterfly Lake, other key locations